

RESULT OF REVIEW

Title : GREEN ENERGY DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY TOWARDS GREEN LOGISTICS: CASE STUDY AT PT. PELABUHAN INDONESIA III (PERSERO) BENOA BALI

Review Process : Normal track review (14 days review/round)

Total Score : $18 + 18 = 39 / 6 * 10 = 60$

Decision of Paper Selection

() A. Accept submission, no revisions required.

Total poin ≥ 95

() B. Accept submission, revisions required; please revise the paper according to comments.

Total poin ≥ 80

(*) C. Revision Required, you may revise and resubmit for review

Total poin ≥ 60

(*) D. Decline submission.

Total poin ≤ 59

What should you do next? (Only for accepted papers, A & B & C)

- Revise the paper according to the comments
- Deadline revise paper submission at least 7 days after this letter received

Proposed Schedule for Publication (Only for accepted papers, A & B)

- e-Version First: the online version may be published soon after the final draft is completed (if you meet above requirements within 2 weeks).

Additional Information

- If you want to buy more printed journals, please contact the editorial assistant
- Other questions please contact the editorial assistant at: jurnal@poltekapp.ac.id

Comments from Reviewer 1

Evaluation	Grade Please give a grade of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 (high to low)
Contribution to existing knowledge	3
Organization and Readability	3
Soundness of methodology	3
Evidence supports conclusion	2
Adequacy of literature review	4
Renewal of Issues	3
TOTAL SCORE	18

Weaknesses

Penggunaan bahasa banyak yang tidak tepat, dan gaya bahasa yang digunakan sangat bahasa indonesia yang diterjemahkan ke bahasa Inggris

Pembahasan hanya sebatas merangkum hasil literatur review, namun kurang membahas bagaimana peluang implementasinya di Benoa, membandingkan kebutuhan energi dan peluang yang bisa digantikan dengan green energy, potensi penghematan yang dihasilkan, potensi pengurangan emisi karbon yang dihasilkan, apakah investasi yang dikeluarkan akan menutup penghematan yang dihasilkan dalam waktu 5-10 tahun kedepan.

Saran yang diberikan terlalu umum dan tidak konkrit

Suggestion to Author (s)

Bagian awal banyak memasukan hal yang kurang terkait dengan pembahasan artikel

Bagian pembahasan perlu membahas lebih dalam terkait dengan peluang dan potensi yang disesuaikan dengan kondisi Benoa, literatur hanya sebagai pendukung, bukan bahasan utama

Analisis dan kesimpulan dibuat lebih konkrit
The quality of the English needs to be improved. For readability, some grammatical and writing style errors

Comments from Reviewer 2

Evaluation	Grade Please give a grade of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 (high to low)
Contribution to existing knowledge	3
Organization and Readability	3
Soundness of methodology	3
Evidence supports conclusion	2
Adequacy of literature review	3
Renewal of Issues	4
TOTAL SCORE	18
Weaknesses Please see comments in the article!	
Suggestion to Author (s) Please see comments in the article!	